

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/967,302	09/28/2001	Steven J. Gatewood	IP 6141 US 5206		
1726	1726 7590 04/05/2004			EXAMINER	
INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY 6285 TRI-RIDGE BOULEVARD LOVELAND, OH 45140			TARAZANO, DONALD LAWRENCE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EO VEERND,	, 011 101.10		1773		

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
· ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Advisory Action	09/967,302	GATEWOOD ET AL.				
	•	Examiner	Art Unit				
		D. Lawrence Tarazano	1773				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) Light The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if mely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) Method they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	enarate timely filed amendment				
	canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the				
6.	. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.⊠	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo						
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed:						
,	Claim(s) objected to: 9.		\				
	Claim(s) rejected: 1,5-8,15-17 and 21-24.						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 24.		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \				
3.	The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
0.	Other:		29				
			D. Lawrence Tarazano Primary Examiner Art Linit: 1773				

Art Unit: 1773

The applicants proposed amending claim 9 as follows:

Claim 9 (original). The A laminated paper stock according to Claim 1, comprising:

a first biaxially oriented polymeric film adhered to a paper substrate; and
an additionally biaxially oriented polymeric film placed on either the uncoated
paper substrate surface or on said film surface or both.

James James

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The presented claim (9) does not include the limitations of claim (1). The claim does not conform to the subject matter indicated allowable by the examiner in the previous office action. The claim is actually broader in scope that the claim previously presented.